



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,926	05/01/2006	Shinya Abe	2006_0661A	6854
52349	7590	07/30/2008		
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK LLP. 2033 K. STREET, NW SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006			EXAMINER	
			KAYKISH, MATTHEW	
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2627				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/30/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/577,926	ABE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	MATTHEW G. KAYRISH	2627	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MATTHEW G. KAYRISH. (3) Brian E. Miller.

(2) Andrew Dunlap. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 23 July 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: new proposed claims (44 and 45).

Identification of prior art discussed: Lee (US Patent Number 6847594) and Eguchi et al (US PG/Pub 2001/0050888).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed new claim language was discussed. Suggestions were given to help define claims over the cited prior art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Brian E. Miller/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2627  
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.